Christopher A. Slater, OSB No. 97398 Sovereign Hotel, 4th Floor 710 S.W. Madison, Street Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 227-2024

Fax: (503) 224-7299

email: cslater@slaterross.com

Of Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

JEAN NELSON,

Case No. 3:14-CV-00685-SB

Plaintiff,

VS.

FISKARS BRAINDS, INC., d.b.a GERBER LEGENDARY BLADES,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S BILL OF COSTS

Plaintiff objects to Defendant's Bill of Costs in its entirety because awarding costs to Defendant would have a chilling effect on future employment claims. The Court has discretion to deny an award of costs when such an award would have a chilling effect on future claims that seek to vindicate civil rights. *Escriba v. Foster Poultry Farms, Inc.*, 743 F 3d 1236, 1247-49 (9th Cir 2014); *Association of Mexican American Educators v. State of California*, 231 F 3d 572, 591-593 (9th Cir 2000). "Appropriate reasons for denying costs include: (1) the substantial public importance

Page - 1 - MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S BILL OF COSTS

SLATER | ROSS SOVEREIGN HOTEL, 4TH FLOOR 710 S.W. MADISON STREET PORTLAND, OREGON 97205 (503) 227-2024 of the case, (2) the closeness and difficulty of the issues in the case, (3) the chilling effect on future

similar actions, (4) the plaintiff's limited financial resources, and (5) the economic disparity between

the parties. Id. at 592-93. This is not 'an exhaustive list of 'good reasons' for declining to award

costs' but rather a starting point for analysis." Escriba, 743 F 3d at 1248 (internal citation omitted).

Plaintiff was terminated for attendance by Defendant after being in a non-work related

automobile accident. Plaintiff alleged violations under the Family Medical Leave Act, the Oregon

Family Leave Act, and for wrongful termination for being fired for exercising an important job-

related right. Plaintiff filed her claims in good faith based upon recognized case law in other Circuits

in a case that appears to be a case of first impression in this Circuit. See, Hodgens v. General

Dynamics Corp., 144 F 3d 551 (1st Cir 1998); Caldwell v. Holland of Texas, Inc., 208 F 3d 671 (8th

Cir 2000); Phillips v. Matthews, 547 F 3d 905 (8th Cir 2008). Plaintiff's claims were ultimately

dismissed on summary judgment.

Awarding costs against a civil rights claimant under such circumstances would have a

chilling effect on future civil rights claims because civil rights claimants would be deterred from

bringing such claims out of fear of being exposed to bills of cost.

DATED this 16th day of October, 2015.

/s/ CHRISTOPHER A. SLATER

Christopher A. Slater, OSB No. 97398

Of Attorneys for Plaintiff